



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,170	09/06/2000	Motoyasu Taguchi	071671/0155	8925
22428	7590	08/02/2006		EXAMINER
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007				WANG, TED M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2611

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5/

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/656,170	TAGUCHI, MOTOYASU	
	Examiner Ted M. Wang	Art Unit 2611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ted M. Wang. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Justin Sobaje. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sudo et al. (US 6,363,101).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agrees to detail review the argument presented by the Applicant's representative during interview that the cited reference, Fig.3, is not related to that of a rake receiver or finger. The cited reference does not teach "a level judgment circuit for executing electric field level judgement based on the correlated received signals output" as recited.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required